

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT TACOMA

10 QUINSTREET, INC., a California  
11 corporation,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MARK FERGUSON d/b/a/ WHEW.COM, an  
15 individual,

16 Defendant.

Case No. C08-5525RJB

MINUTE ORDER

17 The following Minute Order is made by the Court, United States District Judge Robert J. Bryan:

18 On June 11, 2009, Defendant, acting *pro se*, filed a Motion to Compel Discovery for Requests for  
19 Production, Set Two (Dkt. 89) and a Motion to Compel Discovery for Interrogatories and Request for  
20 Production, Set Three and Request for Production, Set Four (Dkt. 90). Both motions were noted for  
21 consideration on June 26, 2009. *Id.* On June 22, 2009, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Protective Order  
22 seeking an order “limiting the requests for admissions that were timely served to a reasonable amount that  
23 is not unduly burdensome and ordering that [Plaintiff] is not obligated to respond the Defendant’s third and  
24 fourth set of requests for admissions, fourth set of interrogatories, and fifth set of requests for production  
25 because they were not timely served.” Dkt. 105. On June 22, 2009, Plaintiff also filed a Motion to  
26 Compel Further Deposition of Defendant Mark Ferguson. Dkt. 98. Oral argument on the motions was  
27 requested. Dkts. 89, 90, 101, and 104. On July 6, 2009, attorney Robert J. Siegel filed a Notice of  
28 Appearance for Defendant. Dkt. 115. Oral argument on all these motions was originally set for July 29,  
2009.

Parties reported to the Court that they have resolved the Motion to Compel Further Deposition of

1 Defendant Mark Ferguson (Dkt. 98) and some issues in the other motions. Dkts. 120 and 121.

2 Accordingly, the Motion to Compel Further Deposition of Defendant Mark Ferguson (Dkt. 98) **IS**  
3 **STRICKEN**.

4 Upon review of the file **ORAL ARGUMENT** still appears appropriate on the remaining motions  
5 and **SHALL BE SET FOR Wednesday, August 5, 2009 at 9:30 A.M.**

6 The Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery for Requests for Production, Set Two (Dkt. 89),  
7 Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery for Interrogatories and Request for Production, Set Three and  
8 Request for Production, Set Four (Dkt. 90) and Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt. 105) are  
9 **RENOTED** for **August 5, 2009**.

10 Dated this the 3rd day of August, 2009.

11 The foregoing Minute Order authorized by THE HONORABLE ROBERT J. BRYAN, UNITED  
12 STATES DISTRICT JUDGE